



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/083,261	02/25/2002	Joon-Hoo Choi	8071-12 (OPP 011059US)	7814
22150	7590	06/29/2005	EXAMINER	
F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 WOODBURY ROAD WOODBURY, NY 11797			WANG, GEORGE Y	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2871	
DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/083,261	<b>Applicant(s)</b> CHOI ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> George Y. Wang	<b>Art Unit</b> 2871	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) George Y. Wang. (3) \_\_\_\_\_  
 (2) David Heath. (4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 07 June 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1 and 33.

Identification of prior art discussed: US 5,920,084 to Gu; US 5,053,844 to Murakami; US 5,646,756 to Dohjo; US 5,668,379 of Ono.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Although we discussed Applicant's proposed arguments to overcome the prior art rejection by (1) elaborating on the lack of motivation argument to combine the Murakami reference and (2) a new argument to point out that neither the Gu, Dohjo, and Ono reference teaches a TFT substrate having a first insulating layer as recited in claim 33, allowability was not determined at this time. Only upon receipt of Applicant's arguments will patentability be determined.